

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO.           | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.    | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 10/696,643                | 10/29/2003      | Timothy P. Michel    | 0212.66836             | 7780             |
| 24978                     | 7590 07/14/2005 | ·                    | EXAMINER               |                  |
| GREER, BURNS & CRAIN      |                 |                      | WATTS, DOUGLAS D       |                  |
| 300 S WACKI<br>25TH FLOOR |                 |                      | ART UNIT               | PAPER NUMBER     |
| CHICAGO, IL 60606         |                 |                      | 3724 .                 |                  |
|                           |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 07/14/200 | 5                |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |     |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|-----|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary  | 10/696,643   | MICHEL ET AL:   |     |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |     |  |  |  |  |
| The MAIL INC DATE AND  | Douglas D. Watts   | 3724  |     |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply  | pears on the cover sheet wi  | th the correspondence address   |     |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a re<br>y within the statutory minimum of thirty<br>will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT   | ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication | on. |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |   |     |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Ju   | ılv 2004   |   |     |  |  |  |  |
|  | action is non-final.   |   |     |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is  |  |   |     |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E   | x parte Quavle, 1935 C.D.  | 13, prosecution as to the ments is  | S . |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  | ,  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   |     |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.  |  | •   |     |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |  |   |     |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  | m nom consideration.   |   |     |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.  |  | ·   |     |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |  |   |     |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  |   |     |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |  |   |     |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  |  |   | •   |  |  |  |  |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |  |   |     |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the d  | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) he held in above a second control of the drawing(s) he held in above a second control of the drawing(s) he held in above a second control of the drawing(s) he held in above a second control of the drawing(s) he held in above a second control of the drawing(s) he held in above a second control of the drawing(s) he held in above a second control of the drawing(s) he held in above a second control of the drawing(s) he held in above a second control of the drawing(s) he held in above a second control of the drawing(s) he held in above a second control of the drawing(s) he held in above a second control of the drawing(s) here.   |   |     |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |  |   |     |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.   |  |   |     |  |  |  |  |
|  | The distance of the distance o | of the Action of form P10-152.  |     |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |   |     |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1   | 19(a)-(d) or (f).   |     |  |  |  |  |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of:   |  |   |     |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  |  |   |     |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |  |   |     |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage  |  |   |     |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |  |   |     |  |  |  |  |
| oss the attached detailed Office action for a list o   | t the certified copies not re-   | ceived.   |     |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |   |     |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |  |   |     |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  |  |   |     |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | 4) Linterview Sum  | mary (PTO-413)<br>lail Date   |     |  |  |  |  |
| 3) 🔀 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)   | 5) L Notice of Infor   | mal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |     |  |  |  |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/14/05.  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office   | 6) Other:  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   |     |  |  |  |  |
| PTOL 326 (Pay 4 04)  | on Summary   | Part of Paper No./Mail Date 06272005  |     |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/696,643

Art Unit: 3724

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Greene (2,436,692). The lower end of Greene is adjustable rotationally.

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-3, 5-8, 10, 13-14, 16-17, 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Phillips et al. (6,617,969). Note O-ring 214.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 3724

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4, 9, 11-12, 15, 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Phillips et al. (6,671,969). Phillips appears to show a multi piece scroll collar but if not then it would have been obvious to an artisan to use conventional manufacturing techniques to produce a two part collar with fasteners. Note that 210a appears to be an overmold. Such overmolds are old so if the part of Phillips is not an overmold an artisan would obviously make it one since it would create no new of unobvious results and would be an obvious matter of design. Regarding claims 11-13, the use of any conventional lock would be obvious to an artisan absent a showing of criticality.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas D. Watts whose telephone number is (571) 272-4515. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allen Shoap can be reached on (571) 272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,643

Art Unit: 3724

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

6/27/05

DOUGLAS D. WATTS PRIMARY EXAMINER

Dunk Dhino